1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA		
10 11	JEREMIAH DESHAUN ST CHARLES HILL,	CASE NO. C13-6022 RBL-JRC	
12	Plaintiff, v.	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION NOTED FOR: APRIL 25, 2014	
13	POLICE CHIEF FARRAR,	AF KIL 23, 2014	
14 15	Defendant.		
16	This 42 U.S.C. \$1092 givil rights matter has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate		
17	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 636 (b) (1) (A) and (B) and Local Magistrate Judge Rules MJR		
18	1, MJR 3, and MJR 4.		
19	The Court recommends dismissal of this action for failure to comply with Court orders		
20	and failure to prosecute the action. The authority for the dismissal is Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).		
21	Plaintiff filed his action in November of 2013 (Dkt. 1). Plaintiff's motion to proceed in		
22	forma pauperis was not filed until late December (Dkt. 4). On December 30, 2013, the Court		
23	ordered plaintiff to file an amended complaint	(Dkt. 8). Plaintiff failed to respond. In February	
24			

1	of 2014, the Court entered an Order directing plaintiff to show cause why the action should not	
2	be dismissed (Dkt. 9). Plaintiff again failed to respond.	
3	Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (b) states:	
4	If the plaintiff fails to prosecute or to comply with these rules or a court order, a defendant may move to dismiss the action or any claim against it. Unless the	
5	dismissal order states otherwise, a dismissal under this subdivision (b) and any dismissal not under this ruleexcept one for lack of jurisdiction, improper venue,	
6	or failure to join a party under Rule 19operates as an adjudication on the merits.	
7	Plaintiff has failed to comply with two Court orders and is not prosecuting this action.	
8	The undersigned recommends dismissal of the action with prejudice.	
9	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the parties shall have	
10	fourteen (14) days from service of this Report to file written objections. See also Fed. R. Civ. P.	
11	6. Failure to file objections will result in a waiver of those objections for purposes of de novo	
12	review by the district judge. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). Accommodating the time limit	
13	imposed by Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), the clerk is directed to set the matter for consideration on April	
14	25, 2014, as noted in the caption.	
15	Dated this 2 nd day of April, 2014.	
16	Though water	
17	J. Richard Creatura	
18	United States Magistrate Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		